$trans-Chloro(1-Naphthyl)bis (triphenylphosphine)nickel(II)/PCy₃$ Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Aryl and Heteroaryl Neopentylglycolboronates with Aryl and Heteroaryl Mesylates and Sulfamates at Room Temperature

Pawaret Leowanawat, Na Zhang, Mehtap Safi, David J. Hoffman, Miriam C. Fryberger, Aiswaria George, and Virgil Percec*

Roy & Diana Vagelo[s L](#page-6-0)aboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, United States

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-6-0) trans-Chloro(1-naphthyl)bis(triphenylphosphine)nickel- (II) complex/PC y_3 system has been successfully applied as catalyst for the Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl and heteroaryl neopentylglycolboronates with aryl and heteroaryl mesylates and sulfamates in THF at room temperature. This cross-coupling reaction tolerates various functional groups, including keto, imino, ester, ether, and cyano. Together with the nickel-catalyzed, one-pot, two-step neopentylglycolborylation, this bench stable and inexpensive Ni(II)-based catalyst can be utilized as an alternative to $Ni(COD)_{2}/PCy_{3}$ to provide an inexpensive, robust, and convenient synthesis of biaryl and heterobiaryl compounds.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

Transition-metal-catalyzed Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling reaction is one of the most powerful transformations used for the construction of biaryl compounds. Traditionally, this reaction involves the use of aryl halides as electrophiles, aryl boronic acids as nucleophiles, and a Pd catalyst.¹ Phenol derivatives were recently developed as alternative electrophiles for the Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling reaction [s](#page-6-0)ince these electrophiles are inexpensive and widely available, even though they are less reactive than aryl halides due to the stronger C−O bond.² In 1995, our laboratory reported the NiCl₂(dppf)/Zncatalyzed Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl sulfonates with [bo](#page-6-0)ronic acids at elevated temperatures.³ Since then, Nibased catalysts have received substantial research interest.^{2a} The Monteiro laboratory reported that $NiCl₂(PCy₃)₂$ $NiCl₂(PCy₃)₂$ $NiCl₂(PCy₃)₂$ is an active catalyst for the cross-coupling of aryl tosylates with aryl [bor](#page-6-0)onic acids.⁴ Subsequently, $NiCl₂(PCy₃)₂$ proved to be a very versatile and successful catalyst for the cross-coupling of vario[us](#page-6-0) C−O-based electrophiles, including aryl carboxylates,⁵ aryl carbonates,⁶ aryl carbamates,^{6−8} aryl sulfamates,^{6,7'c,8} and aryl phosphates.⁹

The most co[m](#page-6-0)mon nucleophile[s](#page-6-0) [us](#page-6-0)ed in the nickel[-catal](#page-6-0)yzed Suzuki−Miyaur[a](#page-6-0) cross-coupling reaction of C−O electrophiles are aryl boronic acids, $3,4,5$ and $5,6,7$ c $8,9$ aryl boronates, $10,11$ and aryl potassium trifluoroborates.¹² Our laboratory is interested in the synthesis and applicat[ion of aryl](#page-6-0) neopentylglyc[olbor](#page-6-0)onates as coupling partners in th[e](#page-6-0) Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling reactions. Unlike aryl boronic acids, which are a mixture of monomer, dimer, and trimer due to anhydride formation, aryl neopentylglycolboronates are present only in monomeric

form.¹ⁱ Hence, the stoichiometry of these aryl neopentylglycolboronates can be precisely controlled, and their crosscoup[lin](#page-6-0)g is suitable for the perfectly stoichiometric requirement of reactions such as step polymerization.¹³ Moreover, aryl neopentylglycolboronates are less reactive but more selective than ar[yl](#page-6-0) boronic acids.^{10c,14} Therefore, aryl neopentylglycolboronates can be used in orthogonal cross-coupling reactions with different C-O ele[ctroph](#page-6-0)iles.¹⁴

Aryl and heteroaryl neopentylglycolboronates are easily prepared by a two-step, one-po[t r](#page-6-0)eaction starting from aryl iodides and bromides, $\lim_{b \to b} \frac{1}{b}$ aryl chlorides, $\lim_{b \to b} \frac{1}{b}$ and aryl sulfonates.¹⁷ Recently, Ni(II)-catalyzed borylation of aryl carbamates was reporte[d by th](#page-6-0)e Shi laboratory.^{[18](#page-6-0)}

 $Ni(II)Cl₂PCy₃$ is the most widely used catalyst for the crosscoupling reactions of aryl boronic acids and bor[ox](#page-6-0)ines with C− O electrophiles.4−⁹ However, this catalyst does not crosscouple aryl neopentylglycolboronates.¹⁴ Hu and co-workers reported that $Ni(COD)_2/PCy_3$ is active for the roomtemperature Suzuki−Miyaura cross-co[up](#page-6-0)ling of aryl sulfonates with aryl boronic acids.¹⁹ Our laboratory utilized this catalyst to successfully cross-couple aryl neopentylglycolboronates with electron-rich and el[ec](#page-6-0)tron-deficient aryl and heteroaryl mesylates and sulfamates.^{10c} Although Ni $(COD)_2/PCy_3$ is an excellent catalyst that tolerates a variety of functional groups, it is air sensitive, toxic, and [ex](#page-6-0)pensive. The inexpensive and airstable Ni(II)Cl₂(PR₃)₂ catalysts seem to provide a superior option. However, they have to be activated to convert $Ni(II)$

Received: January 15, 2012 Published: February 27, 2012 into Ni(0) for oxidative addition of the C−O bond to take place. This process can be accomplished by reducing agents such as Zn^3 , n-BuLi,²⁰ or boronic acid mostly at elevated temperatures. 4 Although the application of n -BuLi as a reductant al[lo](#page-6-0)ws the [cr](#page-6-0)oss-coupling to occur also at room temperature, [th](#page-6-0)e catalyst is not compatible with base-sensitive functional groups. Therefore, mild and room-temperature Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling reaction is not accessible using the $Ni(II)Cl₂(PR₃)₂$ catalysts.²¹ Recently, an alternative easily prepared and air-stable trans-chloro(aryl)bis- (triphenylphosphine)Ni(II) comple[x](#page-6-0) and related compounds from the same class of Ni(II) $-\sigma$ -aryl complexes have received research interest. Ni(II)– σ -aryl complexes were discovered in 1960.²² trans-Chloro(aryl)bis(triphenylphosphine)Ni(II) complex was found to be active for the cyanation²³ of aryl halides and [rec](#page-6-0)ently for the amination²⁴ and Suzuki-Miyaura crosscoupling of aryl halides and sulfonates w[ith](#page-6-0) aryl boronic acids.19,25 The room-temper[atu](#page-6-0)re Suzuki−Miyaura crosscoupling reaction of aryl halides^{25c} and aryl sulfonates^{25c,d} with [aryl b](#page-6-0)oronic acids has been reported with this Ni (II) − σ aryl complex. However, the activi[ty o](#page-6-0)f this complex in c[ross](#page-6-0)coupling with aryl boronates is not known. In this paper, we report that the air- and moisture-stable as well as the readily $accessible$ trans-chloro(aryl)bis(triphenylphosphine) $Ni(II)$ complex catalyzes the Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl neopentylglycolboronates with both aryl and heteroaryl mesylates and sulfamates at room temperature.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A Brief Discussion of the Preparation and Activation of Ni(II)−σ-Aryl Complexes. In general, Ni(II)(σ-aryl) complexes can be synthesized by two general methodologies. The first method involves the transmetalation of $NiL₂X₂$ with aryl Grignard or organolithium reagents.²² The second method involves the oxidative addition of aryl halides^{23,26,27} or aryl sulfonates^{25d} to Ni(0) complexes su[ch](#page-6-0) as Ni(COD)₂ or $Ni(PPh₃)₄$ and/or by in situ formation and [r](#page-6-0)[educ](#page-7-0)tion of $Ni(II)Cl₂(PPh₃)₂$ $Ni(II)Cl₂(PPh₃)₂$ $Ni(II)Cl₂(PPh₃)₂$ with Zn^{23c} or other reducing reagents such as manganese/iron alloy.^{23b} It was decided to employ the in situ reduction method for the [pr](#page-6-0)eparation of the complex in order to avoid the need of [usin](#page-6-0)g the air sensitive and/or expensive reagents. The synthesis and the proposed mechanism of crosscoupling mediated by the Ni(II)– σ -aryl complexes is shown in Scheme 1. By stirring $NiCl₂·6H₂O$ and $PPh₃$ in refluxing ethanol, $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ was formed without isolation. After its in situ reduction with Zn to Ni(0) followed by oxidative addition to 1-chloronaphthalene, Ni $(\text{II})\text{Cl}(1\text{-naphthyl})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ (Scheme 1, compound A) was obtained.^{25b} The same approach can be applied to the synthesis of Ni(II)Br(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂. This precatalyst can be prepared [on](#page-6-0) a multigram scale from inexpensive starting materials and kept on the bench for several months with no decrease in its catalytic activity. In the presence of a base, solvent, and aryl boronic ester, the Ni(II)Cl(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂ (Scheme 1, compound A) catalyst precursor was activated before entering the catalytic cycle in a similar way as in the case of aryl boronic acids.^{25a,c} Transmetalation facilitated by base produced naphthyl−Ni(II)- $(PPh₃)₂$ −Ar (Scheme 1, compound B) complex followe[d by](#page-6-0) reductive elimination to yield the naphthyl−aryl product and Ni(0)L'_mL"_n. The naphthyl−aryl product generated in small amounts can be detected by its fluorescence in TLC and removed from the desired product by column chromatography. Then the $\mathrm{Ni} (0) \mathrm{L'}_{m} \mathrm{L''}_{n}$ (Scheme 1, compound C) generated will

Scheme 1. Synthesis of trans-

Chloro(aryl)bis(triphenylphosphine)Ni(II) Complex and the Proposed Mechanism for the Cross-Coupling Reaction

enter the general catalytic cycle of the $Ni(0)/Ni(II)$ mechanism by following the sequence of oxidative addition and transmetalation with aryl neopentylglycolboronates, followed by reductive elimination to give the desired biaryl product. This process is facile enough to occur at room temperature.

Optimization of Cross-Coupling Reaction Conditions of Aryl Neopentylglycolboronates with Aryl Mesylates. Various bases, solvents, and catalyst loadings were investigated in order to provide the optimum reaction conditions for the cross-coupling of aryl mesylates with aryl neopentylglycolboronates. The amount of boronic ester used in the reaction was also examined in order to reduce the amount of aryl boronate used in these cross-coupling experiments.

 K_3PO_4 proved to be the base of choice (Table 1, entries 3, 4 and entries 1, 2). Using dry THF and K_2CO_3 as base gave the lowest yields for all combinations (Table 1, ent[rie](#page-2-0)s 1 and 9). Both PCy_3 and PPh_3 were efficient ligands in the cross-coupling of methyl 4-methanesulfonyloxybenzoate [wit](#page-2-0)h p -methoxyphenyl neopentylglycol boronate in the presence of K_3PO_4 . Decreasing the amount of boronate to 1 equiv with respect to mesylate maintained excellent reaction yield (Table 1, entry 7). Although dried THF worked as well as wet as received THF, in this reaction we used dry THF as solvent thr[ou](#page-2-0)ghout the rest of the study.

In order to compare PCy_3 and PPh_3 ligands, we further decreased the catalyst and ligand loading for both ligands and screened several more challenging substrates using $PPh₃$ as ligand. Decreasing the complex loading to as low as 2% did not reduce the yield. However, further decrease of the complex loading to 1% significantly decreased the reaction yield. PCy_3 was shown to be more efficient than $PPh₃$ at low complex loading (Table 2, entries 5 and 10). With PCy_3 as the ligand and 5% complex loading, the reaction was complete in 3 h. Although PCy_3 was more efficient, the less expensive and oxidatively mo[re](#page-3-0) stable $PPh₃$ is preferable. Hence, several substrates utilizing PPh_3 as ligand were also investigated.

Table 1. Cross-Coupling of Methyl 4-(Methanesulfonyloxy)benzoate with p-Methoxyphenyl Neopentylglycolboronate Catalyzed by Ni(II)Cl(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂/Phosphine Ligand at 25 °C

Electron-rich aryl mesylates and sulfamates gave low yields when PPh_3 was used as ligand even at longer reaction times (Table 2, entries 12 and 14). Under the same conditions, electron-deficient aryl mesylates were cross-coupled in good yields ([Ta](#page-3-0)ble 2, entries 1−4 and 11) while aryl sulfamates were cross-coupled in moderate yields (Table 2, entry 13). The combination [of](#page-3-0) 5% Ni catalyst/10% PCy_3/K_3PO_4 , in dry THF was selected for experiments to be report[ed](#page-3-0) in the following subchapters.

Cross-Coupling Reaction of Aryl Neopentylglycolboronates with Aryl Mesylates. The cross-coupling reaction of aryl mesylates with aryl neopentylglycolboronates bearing both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating functional groups was studied in order to survey the scope and limitations of this catalytic system. Both the electronic and steric properties of the aryl mesylates and aryl boronic esters were investigated. In general, cross-coupling reactions were complete within 14 h and produced good to excellent yields despite steric hindrance or the presence of a deactivating electron-donating substituent. Ortho−ortho coupling was shown to be more challenging due to steric hindrance. Nevertheless, with longer reaction time and higher complex loading (Table 3, 3u, 3w, 3x), ortho−ortho coupling was accomplished in good to excellent yields with the exception of o-methyl benzoate [wit](#page-3-0)h o-methyl benzoate (Table 3, 3t).

Cross-Coupling Reaction of Aryl Neopentylglycolbor[o](#page-3-0)nates with Aryl Sulfamates. In recent studies, $10c,14$ it has been shown that aryl mesylates and sulfamates behave similarly in their Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling reaction[s wit](#page-6-0)h aryl neopentylglycolboronates. With the $Ni(COD)_2/PCy_3/K_3PO_4$ catalytic system at room temperature, both mesylates and sulfamates were cross-coupled efficiently. Although mesylates are important C−O electrophiles, the cross-coupling reaction of sulfamates is also important due to their reactivity in orthometalation reactions.²⁸ It was expected that the Ni(II)Cl(1naphthyl $(PPh₃)₂$ precatalyst would provide reaction results similar to those obt[ain](#page-7-0)ed with $Ni(COD)_2$, and therefore, the cross-coupling of aryl neopentylglycolboronates with aryl sulfamates was also investigated. To our surprise, aryl sulfamates were less reactive than aryl mesylates when $Ni(II)Cl(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂/PCy₃$ was used as a precatalyst. However, good yields were still obtained with longer reaction

time. 4-Methoxyphenyl sulfamate was found to be challenging for this catalytic system. The reason is still under investigation. A certain degree of steric hindrance was tolerated as well (Table 4, 3i, 3g). Ortho−ortho coupling was again more challenging as in the case of aryl mesylates. Cross-coupling reaction [o](#page-4-0)f substrates with ortho-electron-withdrawing groups and aryl boronates with *ortho-withdrawing groups* (Table 4, 3t, and Table 3, 3t) did not give good yields even with higher catalyst loading and reaction time as long as 64 h. An incr[ea](#page-4-0)sed reaction ti[me](#page-3-0) did not result in an increased reaction yield. Instead, methyl benzoate was detected by GC in the reaction mixture after 90 h.

Scope of Cross-Coupling Reaction of Aryl and Heteroaryl Neopentylglycolboronates with Aryl and Heteroaryl Mesylates and Sulfamates. Various functional groups including keto, cyano, and imido were tested for these reaction conditions (Table 5). It was found that these reaction conditions tolerated these functional groups with good isolated yields when cross-coupling [e](#page-4-0)lectron-rich aryl neopentylglycolboronates with aryl mesylates bearing a keto group (81%, Table 5, 3y), cyano group (93%, Table 5, 3z; $X = OMs$), and imido group (94%, Table 5, 3af). However, the isolated yields were [lo](#page-4-0)wer with longer reaction time w[he](#page-4-0)n these aryl mesylates were coupled with electr[on](#page-4-0)-deficient aryl neopentylglycolboronates (keto group (57%, Table 5, 3ab), cyano group (85%, Table 5, 3ac), and imido group (49%, Table 5, 3ai)). The functionalized aryl sulfamates also gave t[he](#page-4-0) coupled product but in diminish[ed](#page-4-0) yield (45%, Table 5, 3z).

The cross-coupling reaction of [h](#page-4-0)eteroaryl mesylates and heteroaryl sulfama[te](#page-4-0)s with aryl or heteroaryl neopentylglycolboronates was also investigated. Generally, the isolated yields for both heteroaryl mesylates and sulfamates were excellent (81−99%) regardless of the electronic properties of aryl neopentylglycolboronates (3ae, 3ah, 3aa, 3ad, 3ag). Only the cross-coupling of 3-pyridinyl mesylate with 2-thienyl neopentylglycolboronates showed diminished isolated yield (67%, Table 5, 3aj). This diminished efficiency might come from ortho hindrance introduced by the lone pair of electrons of sulfur.

Table 2. Cross-Coupling of Aryl Mesylates and Sulfamates with p-Methoxyphenyl Neopentylglycolboronates. Screening for Optimum Combinations of Catalyst and Ligand Loadings

a Conversion determined by GC. The GC yield always has the same value as conversion. ^bIsolated yield. ^cAryl boronate 1.5 equiv.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

The bench-stable, inexpensive, and readily available transchloro(1-naphthyl)bis(triphenylphosphine) Ni(II) complex was demonstrated to be an efficient precatalyst for the crosscoupling of electron-rich and electron-deficient aryl and heteroaryl mesylates and sulfamates with aryl and heteroaryl neopentylglycolboronates. The reaction is carried out in THF at room temperature without external reducing reagent and tolerates a variety of functional groups. The ability of transchloro(1-naphthyl)bis(triphenylphosphine)Ni(II) complex to catalyze the Suzuki−Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl and heteroaryl neopentylglycolboronates provides support for the $Ni(0)/Ni(II)$ catalytic cycle mechanism for this nickel catalyzed cross-coupling reaction. Now, room-temperature Suzuki− Miyaura cross-coupling reaction can be achieved without the Table 3. Cross-Coupling of Aryl Mesylates with Aryl Neopentylglycolboronates Catalyzed by Ni(II)Cl(1- Naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂/PCy₃ in THF at 25 $^{\circ}$ C^a

 $a_{10\%}$ Catalyst and 20% PCy₃ for 3t and 3u. Reaction conditions: ArOMs (0.3 mmol), aryl neopentylglycolboronate (0.3 mmol), Ni(II)Cl(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂ (0.015 mmol), PCy₃ (0.03 mmol), K3PO4 (0.9 mmol), THF (1.0 mL). Conversion/isolated yield. The GC yield has the same value as conversion.

use of an air-sensitive and expensive $\text{Ni(COD)}_{2}/\text{PCy}_{3}$ catalytic system. With this development, large-scale synthesis of complex building blocks and macromolecules can be achieved at lower cost and in fewer steps.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Methods. $Ni(II)Cl(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)$ was prepared according to a literature method.^{25b,c} 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane (2a) was synthesized by the esterification of the boronic acid with neope[nthyl](#page-6-0) glycol. K_3PO_4 from a commercial source was dried at 40 °C under vacuum overnight prior to use. PPh₃ was recrystallized from hexane. THF was distilled over sodium/benzophenone. Aryl mesylates and aryl sulfamates were synthesized according to literature procedures.^{6,10c,17} All other reagents were used as received from commercial sources. ¹H NMR (500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) spectra w[ere reco](#page-6-0)rded using TMS as internal standard. High-resolution mass spectra of new compounds were obtained on a high-resolution double-focusing chemical ionization mass spectrometer. A GC coupled with an FID detector and column HP 19091J-413 (5% phenyl)methylpolysiloxane 30 m length 0.32 mm internal diameter was used to follow the reaction conversions and to assess the purity of the final compounds. This method is complementary to the NMR technique. The crude reaction Table 4. Cross-Coupling of Aryl Sulfamates with Aryl Neopentylglycolboronates Catalyzed by Ni(II)Cl(1 naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂/PCy₃ in THF at 25 $^{\circ}$ C^{*a*}

 $a_{10\%}$ catalyst and 20% PCy₃ for 3t and 3u. Reaction conditions: $AroSO₂NMe₂$ (0.3 mmol), aryl neopentylglycolboronate (0.3 mmol), Ni(II)Cl(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂ (0.015 mmol), PC_{y₃} (0.03 mmol), K3PO4 (0.9 mmol), THF (1.0 mL). Conversion/isolated yield. The GC yield has the same value as conversion except for $3t$ (48%). b Aryl</sup> pinacolboronate as nucleophile.

mixtures were diluted with THF and analyzed by GC as reported in the previous publications from our laboratory.10,15−¹⁷

General Method for the Preparation of Neopentylglycolbor-
I.e. A procedure elaborated in our laboratory was used.^{10a,b} To a ane. A procedure elaborated in our laborat[ory was](#page-6-0) used.¹ cooled solution (0 °C) of neopentylglycol (6.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in toluene (3 mL) was slowly added $(CH_3)_2S·BH_3$ (6 mmol, [2.0 e](#page-6-0)quiv) under nitrogen. The reaction was allow to stir at 0 °C for 30 min and then at room temperature for 90 min. The neopentylglycolborane was used directly without further purification.

General Procedure for Neopentylglycolborylation. The aryl boronic esters (except 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane (2a)) were prepared according to literature proce-
dures.^{10,15−17} To an oven-dried 25 mL Schlenk tube were added Zn powder (6.0 mmol), $\text{NiCl}_2(\text{dppp})$ (1.5 mmol), and PPh₃ (3.0 mmol) along [with th](#page-6-0)e appropriate aryl halide (if it is solid) (3.0 mmol). The aryl halide, catalyst, and PPh₃ were degassed by pumping and backfilling with nitrogen three times. Dry toluene (3 mL) was added to the reaction mixture along with the appropriate aryl halide (if it is liquid) and $Et₃N$ (9.0 mmol). The neopentylglycolborane was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. The reaction was placed into an oil bath at 100 °C with stirring under nitrogen. After the starting material was consumed, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated Table 5. Cross-Coupling of Aryl and Heteroaryl Mesylates and Sulfamates with Aryl and Heteroaryl Neopentylglycolboronates Catalyzed by Ni(II)Cl(1 naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂/PCy₃ in THF at 25 °C^o

^aReaction conditions: Ar(HetAr)-OMs or Ar(HetAr)-OSO₂NMe₂ (0.3 mmol) , aryl neopentylglycolboronate (0.3 mmol) , Ni $(II)Cl(1-I)$ naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂ (0.015 mmol), PC_{y₃} (0.03 mmol), K₃PO₄ (0.9 mmol), THF (1.0 mL). All yields are isolated.

NH4Cl solution and extracted with EtOAc three times. The organic fractions were combined and dried over $MgSO_4$, followed by filtration and evaporation of the solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography.

General Procedure for Cross-Coupling Reactions. To an oven-dried test tube $(15 \times 85 \text{ mm})$ were added aryl mesylate or aryl sulfamate (0.3 mmol), neopentylglycol boronic ester (0.30 mmol), $Ni(II)Cl(1-naphthyl)(PPh₃)₂$ (0.015 mmol), and K_3PO_4 (0.9 mmol). The tube was taken into a glovebox, and PCy_3 (0.030 mmol) was added. Dried THF (1.0 mL) was then added, and the tube was capped with a rubber septum. The reaction was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen in the glovebox for 10−72 h (see Tables 3−5). The crude mixture was filtered through a short column of silica gel. The solvent was evaporated and the product was purified by column chromatography with dichloromethane/hexane or EtOAc[/h](#page-3-0)exane as eluent.

Methyl 4′-methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-carboxylate (3a): white solid (from mesylate: 68 mg, 94%; from sulfamate: 59 mg, 81%); mp 173−174 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 172−173 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (d, J = 8.3, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.3, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 6.99 (d, $J = 8.7, 2H$ [\), 3](#page-6-0).93 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 167.2, 160.0, 145.4, 132.6, 130.2, 128.5, 128.4, 126.6, 114.53, 55.5, 52.2.

Dimethyl (1,1′-biphenyl)-4,4′-dicarboxylate (3b): white solid (from mesylate: 77 mg, 95%; from sulfamate: 74 mg, 91%); mp 213- 214 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 212−214 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 $(d, J = 8.4, 4H)$, 7.69 $(d, J = 8.4, 4H)$, 3.95 $(s, 6H)$; ¹³C NMR (126) MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.9, 144.5, 130.4, 129.9, 127.4, 52.4.

Dimethyl (1,1′-biphenyl)-3,4′-dicarboxylate (3d): white solid (from mesylate: 79 mg, 98%; from sulfamate: 76 mg, 94%); mp 95−96 ${}^{\circ}C$ (lit.^{10c} mp 95 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.29 (s, 1H), 8.11 (d, J = 7.7, 2H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.3, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.2, 1H), 7.67 $(d, J = 7.7, 2H)$ $(d, J = 7.7, 2H)$ $(d, J = 7.7, 2H)$, 7.52 $(t, J = 7.5, 1H)$, 3.94 $(s, 3H)$, 3.93 $(s, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.9, 166.8, 144.5, 140.3, 131.6, 131.0, 130.3, 129.4, 129.2, 129.1, 128.4, 127.1, 52.3, 52.2.

4,4′-Dimethoxy-1,1′-biphenyl (3e): white solid (from mesylate: 51 mg, 80%; from sulfamate: 51 mg, 80%); mp 172−173 °C (lit.^{10c} mp

171−172 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 (d, J = 8.8, 4H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.8, 4H), 3.84 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.9, 132.7, 126.9, 113.3, 54.5.

Methyl 4′-methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-3-carboxylate (3f): white solid (from mesylate: 56 mg, 77%; from sulfamate: 72 mg, 99%); mp 69−70 °C (lit.^{10'c} mp 68−70 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23 $(s, 1H)$, 7.96 (d, J = 7.7, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.7, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 7.46 (t, J [=](#page-6-0) 7.7, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.2, 159.6, 141.2, 132.7, 131.2, 130.8, 128.9, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 114.4, 55.4, 52.2.

Dimethyl (1,1′-biphenyl)-2,4′-dicarboxylate (3g): white solid (from mesylate: 78 mg, 96%; from sulfamate: 76 mg, 94%); mp 58−59 ${}^{\circ}C$ (lit.^{10c} mp 56–58 ${}^{\circ}C$); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.88 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.1, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.3, 1H), 7[.41](#page-6-0)−7.31 (m, J = 8.8, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H); 13C NMR $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 168.6, 167.0, 146.3, 141.7, 131.6, 130.7, 130.6, 130.2, 129.4, 129.0, 128.5, 127.9, 52.2, 52.1.

Methyl 4'-methoxy(1,1'-biphenyl)-2-carboxylate^{10c} (3h): colorless oil (from mesylate: 68 mg, 94%; from sulfamate: 55 mg, 75%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), [7.4](#page-6-0)9 (td, J $= 7.6, 1.4, 1H$, 7.40−7.31 (m, J = 12.0, 4.5, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H). 52.08; 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5, 159.1, 142.1, 133.8, 131.3, 131.0, 130.8, 129.8, 129.6, 126.9, 113.7, 55.4, 52.1.

Dimethyl $(1,1'-biphenyl)-2,3'-dicarboxylate²⁹$ (3i): colorless oil (from mesylate: 75 mg, 93%; from sulfamate: 78 mg, 96%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (dt, J = 7.2, 1.7, [1H](#page-7-0)), 8.01 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 7.56 (td, J = 7.5, 1.3, 1H), 7.52−7.42 (m, 3H), 7.37 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.64 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 168.7, 167.1, 141.9, 133.1, 131.7, 131.0, 130.6, 130.3, 130.2, 129.6, 128.6, 128.2, 127.8, 52.3, 52.1.

Methyl 2′-methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-carboxylate (3j): white solid (from mesylate: 71 mg, 98%; from sulfamate: 52 mg, 72%); mp 78−80 °C (lit.^{10'c} mp 80 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (d¹, $J = 8.3, 2H$, 7.60 (d, $J = 8.3, 2H$), 7.38–7.28 (m, $J = 15.1, 7.5, 2H$), 7.03 (t, J = 7.[5, 1](#page-6-0)H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.2, 156.6, 143.5, 130.9, 129.7, 129.7, 129.5, 129.4, 128.6, 121.0, 111.5, 55.6, 52.1.

2,4′-Dimethoxy-1,1′-biphenyl (3k): white solid (from mesylate: 52 mg, 81%; from sulfamate: 35 mg, 55%); mp 69−70 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 64−66 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (d, J = 8.5, 2H), $7.33-7.25$ (m, 2H), 7.01 (t, $J = 7.4$, 1H), $6.98-6.91$ (m, 3H), [3.84](#page-6-0) (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.8, 156.6, 131.1, 130.8, 130.7, 130.5, 128.3, 121.0, 113.6, 111.4, 55.7, 55.4.

Methyl 2′-methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-3-carboxylate (3l): white solid (from mesylate: 61 mg, 84%; from sulfamate: 59 mg, 81%); mp 96−97 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.20 (t, J = 1.5, 1H), 8.03− 7.97 (m, 1H), 7.73 (ddd, J = 7.7, 1.7, 1.3, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.7, 1H), 7.38−7.30 (m, 2H), 7.04 (td, J = 7.5, 1.0, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.4, 156.6, 139.0, 134.3, 131.0, 130.9, 130.1, 129.8, 129.2, 128.2, 128.1, 121.1, 111.4, 100.1, 55.7, 52.2; HRMS (CI+) calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}O_3Na$ $(M^+ + Na)$ 265.0841, found 265.0842.

Methyl 3′-methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-carboxylate (3m): white solid (from mesylate: 71 mg, 98%; from sulfamate: 68 mg, 94%); mp 54−55 °C (lit.^{10'c} mp 52−54 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 $(d, J = 8.4, 2H), 7.63$ $(d, J = 8.4, 2H), 7.35$ $(t, J = 7.9, 1H), 7.18$ $(d, J =$ 7.6, 1H), 7.15−[7.](#page-6-0)10 (m, 1H), 6.92 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.9, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.0, 160.1, 145.6, 141.5, 130.1, 130.0, 129.1, 127.2, 119.8, 113.6, 113.1, 55.4, 52.2.

3,4′-Dimethoxy-1,1′-biphenyl (3p): white solid (from mesylate: 60 mg, 94%; from sulfamate: 49 mg, 76%); mp 53−54 °C (lit.10c mp 56−58 °C); ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.53 (d, J = 7.3, 2H), 7.33 $(t, J = 7.9, 1H)$ $(t, J = 7.9, 1H)$ $(t, J = 7.9, 1H)$, 7.14 $(d, J = 8.5, 1H)$, 7.09 $(s, 1H)$, 6.97 $(d, J = 7.3, 1H)$ 2H), 6.89−6.81 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 158.4, 141.5, 132.8, 128.8, 127.3, 118.4, 113.3, 111.7, 111.2, 54.5, 54.4.

Methyl 3′-methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-2-carboxylate (3s): colorless oil (from mesylate: 65 mg, 90%; from sulfamate: 65 mg, 90%); $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.0, 1H), 7.52 (td, J = 7.5, 1.4, 1H), 7.44−7.37 (m, 2H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.9, 1H), 6.94−6.84 (m, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.65 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.3, 158.5, 141.9, 141.4, 130.3, 130.2, 129.7, 128.8, 128.2, 126.4, 120.0, 113.0, 112.0, 54.4, 51.1; HRMS (CI+) calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}O_3Na$ $(M^+ + Na)$ 265.0841, found 265.0834.

Dimethyl (1,1′-biphenyl)-2,2′-dicarboxylate (3t): white solid (from mesylate: 27 mg, 33%; from sulfamate: 19 mg, 23%); mp 72−73 ${}^{\circ}C$ (lit.³⁰ mp 74 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00 (d, J = 7.7, 2H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.5, 2H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.6, 2H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.5, 2H), 3.61 ([s, 6](#page-7-0)H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 167.6, 143.4, 131.6, 130.3, 130.0, 129.5, 127.3, 51.9.

Methyl 2'-methoxy(1,1'-biphenyl)-2-carboxylate^{10c} (3u): colorless oil (from mesylate: 64 mg, 90%; from sulfamate: 51 mg, 70%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.86 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.1, [1H](#page-6-0)), 7.52 $(\text{td}, I = 7.6, 1.3, 1H), 7.37 \text{ (td, } I = 7.6, 1.1, 1H), 7.34-7.28 \text{ (m, 2H)},$ 7.23 (dt, J = 6.2, 3.1, 1H), 7.02 (t, J = 7.4, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.2, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.7, 156.1, 138.9, 131.7, 131.6, 131.4, 130.6, 130.0, 129.4, 128.9, 127.2, 120.8, 110.2, 55.3, 51.7.

2,3'-Dimethoxy-1,1'-biphenyl²⁹ (3v): colorless oil (from mesylate: 62 mg, 97%; from sulfamate: 55 mg, 85%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.31 (t, J = 7.[3, 3](#page-7-0)H), 7.12−7.06 (m, 2H), 7.01 $(id, J = 7.5, 1.0, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 6.87 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.6, 0.9,$ 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 156.6, 140.1, 131.0, 130.7, 129.0, 128.8, 122.2, 120.9, 115.5, 112.6, 111.4, 55.7, 55.4.

2,2′-Dimethoxy-1,1′-biphenyl (3x): white solid (from mesylate: 47 mg, 73%; from sulfamate: 46 mg, 72%); mp 153–155 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 152−154 °C); ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.31 (t, J = 7.8, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.4, 2H), 6.99 (t, J = 7.5, 2H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.3, 2[H\), 3](#page-6-0).75 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 157.2, 131.6, 128.7, 128.0, 120.5, 111.2, 55.8.

1-(4'-Methoxy(1,1'-biphenyl)-4-yl)ethanone^{10c} (3y): white solid (from mesylate: 55 mg, 81%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.01 (d, $J = 8.4$ $J = 8.4$ $J = 8.4$, 2H), 7.64 (d, $J = 8.4$, 2H), 7.58 (d, $J = 8.8$, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.8, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 197.9, 160.1, 145.5, 135.5, 132.4, 129.1, 128.5, 126.8, 114.6, 55.5, 26.8 . ¹H NMR matches with literature data.

4′-Methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-carbonitrile (3z): white solid (from mesylate: 58 mg, 93%; from sulfamate: 28 mg, 45%); mp $102-103$ °C (lit.^{10c} mp 102−103 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (d, J = 8.3, 2H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.1, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 7.01 $(d, J = 8.7, 2H)$, [3.8](#page-6-0)6 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.4, 145.4, 132.7, 131.7, 128.5, 127.3, 119.2, 114.7, 110.3, 55.6.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)pyridine^{10c} (3aa): white solid (from mesylate: 53 mg, 95%; from sulfamate: 55 mg, 99%); mp 62−64 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 60–61 °C); ¹H NMR (5[00 M](#page-6-0)Hz, CDCl₃) δ 8.82 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, J = 4.4, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 7.9, 1H), 7.53 (dd, J = 6.9, 4.8, 2H), 7.3[3 \(d](#page-6-0)d, $J = 7.8$, 4.8, 1H), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.7$, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.9, 148.1, 148.0, 136.4, 134.0, 130.4, 128.4, 123.7, 114.7, 55.5.

Methyl $4'$ -acetyl(1,1'-biphenyl)-4-carboxylate³¹ (3ab): white solid (from mesylate: 43 mg, 57%); mp 164−165 °C; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 (d, J = 8.2[, 2](#page-7-0)H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.72 (d, J $= 8.3, 2H$), 7.69 (d, J = 8.3, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 197.8, 166.9, 144.6, 144.4, 136.7, 130.4, 129.9, 129.1, 127.6, 127.4, 52.4, 26.8.

Methyl 4′-cyano(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-carboxylate (3ac): white solid (from mesylate: 61 mg, 85%); mp 141-142 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 141−142 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.15 (d, J = 8.5, 2H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.5, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.6, 2H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.5, 2[H\),](#page-6-0) 3.96 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7, 144.5, 143.5, 132.8, 130.5, 130.3, 128.1, 127.4, 118.8, 112.0, 52.4.

Methyl 4-(pyridin-3-yl)benzoate (3ad): white solid (from mesylate: 58 mg, 90%; from sulfamate: 56 mg, 87%); mp 103−104 °C (lit.³² mp 105−107 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.89 (s, 1H), 8.64 (d, J = 4.7, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.91 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.5, 1H), 7.[66](#page-7-0) (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.40 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.8, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.9, 149.4, 148.5, 142.4, 135.7, 134.6, 130.5, 129.9, 127.2, 123.8, 52.4.

8-(4-Methoxyphenyl)quinoline (3ae): white solid (from mesylate: 62 mg, 87%); mp 111–112 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 113–114 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.95 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.8, 1H), 8.19 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.8, 1H), 7.79 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 1.3, 1H), 7.71 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 1.4, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 7.62−7.56 (m, 1H), 7.40 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.1, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 150.3, 146.3, 140.7, 136.4, 132.1, 131.9, 130.1, 129.0, 127.2, 126.4, 121.1, 113.7, 55.5.

1-(4′-Methoxy(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-yl)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (3af): white solid (from mesylate: 79 mg, 94%); mp 212−213 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 212−213 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.64 (d, J = 8.5, 2H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.5, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.7, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 2.92 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.4, 159.6, 141.4, 132.8, 130.5, 128.4, 127.6, 126.8, 114.4, 55.5, 28.6.

3-(Thiophene-3-yl)pyridine (3ag): white solid (from mesylate: 39 mg, 81%); mp 75−76 °C (lit.^{10c} 76−77 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.89 (s, 1H), 8.54 (s, 1H), 7.90–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.52 (dd, J = 2.9, 1.3, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.0, 1H), 7.40 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.3, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.8, 1H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.4, 147.9, 139.0, 133.7, 131.7, 127.1, 126.1, 123.8, 121.6.

Methyl 4-(quinolin-8-yl)benzoate (3ah): white solid (from mesylate: 78 mg, 99%); mp 91–92 °C (lit.^{10c} mp 92–93 °C); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.95 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.6, 1H), 8.22 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.6, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.1, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.1, 2H), 7.75 (d, $J = 7.1$, 1H), 7.62 (t, $J = 7.6$, 1H), 7.43 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.1, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.3, 150.6, 146.0, 144.5, 140.0, 136.5, 130.8, 130.5, 129.4, 129.1, 128.9, 128.4, 126.4, 121.4, 52.2.

Methyl 4′-(2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl)(1,1′-biphenyl)-4-carboxylate (3ai): white solid (from mesylate: 46 mg, 49%); mp 217−219 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.4, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.2, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.3, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 2.93 (s, 4H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.2, 167.0, 144.7, 140.6, 131.9, 130.3, 129.5, 128.2, 127.3, 127.0, 52.3, 28.6; HRMS (CI⁺) calcd for $C_{18}H_{16}NO_4$ (M⁺ + H) 310.1079, found 310.1075.

3-(Thiophene-2-yl)pyridine^{10c} (3aj): light brown oil (from mesylate: 32 mg, 67%); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.89 (s, 1H), 8.51 (d, J = 4.2, 1H), 7.90−7.81 (m, 1H), 7.40−7.33 (m, 2H), 7.33−7.28 (m, 1H), 7.16−7.06 (m, 1H)l 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 148.6, 147.1, 140.5, 133.1, 130.5, 128.4, 126.2, 124.3, 123.7.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds 3a–aj. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHO](http://pubs.acs.org)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: percec@sas.upenn.edu.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no compet](mailto:percec@sas.upenn.edu)ing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by the NSF (DMR-1066116 and DMS-0935165) and by the P. Roy Vagelos Chair at Penn is gratefully acknowledged. P.L. is a graduate fellow of the Royal Thai Government. Dr. Rakesh Kohli (University of Pennsylvania) is acknowledged for obtaining HRMS data.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Miyaura, N.; Yamada, K.; Suzuki, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 20, 3437−3440. (b) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457−2483. (c) Littke, A. F.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4176−4211. (d) Miyaura, N. Top. Curr. Chem. 2002, 219, 11−59. (e) Molander, G. A.; Ellis, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 275−286. (f) Martin, R.; Buchwald, S. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1461−1473. (g) Miyaura, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2008, 81, 1535−1553. (h) Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 288−325. (i) Molander, G. A.; Canturk, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9240−9261.

(2) (a) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346− 1416. (b) Li, B.-J.; Yu, D.-G.; Sun, C.-L.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 1728−1759.

(3) Percec, V.; Bae, J. Y.; Hill, D. H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1060− 1065.

(4) Zim, D.; Lando, V. R.; Dupont, J.; Monteiro, A. L. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3049−3051.

(5) (a) Quasdorf, K. W.; Tian, X.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14422−14423. (b) Guan, B.-T.; Wang, Y.; Li, B.-J.; Yu, D.- G.; Shi, Z.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14468−14470.

(6) Quasdorf, K. W.; Riener, M.; Petrova, K. V.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17748−17749.

(7) (a) Antoft-Finch, A.; Blackburn, T.; Snieckus, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17750−17752. (b) Xu, L.; Li, B.-J.; Wu, Z.-H.; Lu, X.-Y.; Guan, B.-T.; Wang, B.-Q.; Zhao, K.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 884−887. (c) Baghbanzadeh, M.; Pilger, C.; Kappe, C. O. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1507−1510.

(8) Quasdorf, K. W.; Antoft-Finch, A.; Liu, P.; Silberstein, A. L.; Komaromi, A.; Blackburn, T.; Ramgren, S. D.; Houk, K. N.; Snieckus, V.; Garg, N. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6352−6363.

(9) Chen, H.; Huang, Z.; Hu, X.; Tang, G.; Xu, P.; Zhao, Y.; Cheng, C.-H. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2338−2344.

(10) (a) Rosen, B. M.; Huang, C.; Percec, V. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2597−2600. (b) Wilson, D. A.; Wilson, C. J.; Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4879−4882. (c) Leowanawat, P.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9946−9955.

(11) Tobisu, M.; Shimasaki, T.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4866−4869.

(12) Molander, G. A.; Beaumard, F. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4022−4025.

(13) Schlüter, A. D. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2001, 39, 1533−1556.

(14) Leowanawat, P.; Zhang, N.; Percec, V. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1018−1025.

(15) (a) Moldoveanu, C.; Wilson, D. A.; Wilson, C. J.; Leowanawat, P.; Resmerita, A. M.; Liu, C.; Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5438−5452. (b) Leowanawat, P.; Resmerita, A. M.; Moldoveanu, C.; Liu, C.; Zhang, N.; Wilson, D. A.; Hoang, L. M.; Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7822−7828.

(16) Moldoveanu, C.; Wilson, D. A.; Wilson, C. J.; Corcoran, P.; Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4974−4977.

(17) Wilson, D. A.; Wilson, C. J.; Moldoveanu, C.; Resmerita, A. M.; Corcoran, P.; Hoang, L. M.; Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1800−1801.

(18) Huang, K.; Yu, D.-G.; Zheng, S.-F.; Wu, Z.-H.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 786-791.

(19) Tang, Z. Y.; Hu, Q. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3058−3059.

(20) Tang, Z. Y.; Hu, Q. S. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2167−2169.

(21) Percec, V.; Golding, G. M.; Smidrkal, J.; Weichold, O. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3447−3452.

(22) Chatt, J.; Shaw, B. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 1718−1729.

(23) (a) Cassar, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 54, C57−C58.

(b) Cassar, L.; Ferrara, S.; Foa, M. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1974, 252−273.

(c) Vansoolingen, J.; Verkruijsse, H. D.; Keegstra, M. A.; Brandsma, L. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 3153−3156.

(24) (a) Chen, C.; Yang, L. M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6324−6327. (b) Gao, C.-Y.; Yang, L.-M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 1624−1627. (c) Gao, C.-Y.; Cao, X.; Yang, L.-M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 3922−3925. (d) Fan, X.-H.; Li, G.; Yang, L.-M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 2482−2484.

(25) (a) Chen, C.; Yang, L.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 2427− 2430. (b) Fan, X.-H.; Yang, L.-M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 2457−2460. (c) Fan, X.-H.; Yang, L.-M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 1467−1471.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry and the Second Second

(d) Xing, C.-H.; Lee, J.-R.; Tang, Z.-Y.; Zheng, J. R.; Hu, Q.-S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 2051−2059.

(26) (a) Phillips, J. R.; Rosevear, D. T.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 6, 455−460. (b) Hidai, M.; Kashiwagi, T.; Ikeuchi, T.; Uchida, Y. J. Orgnomet. Chem. 1971, 30, 279−282.

(27) Brandsma, L.; Vasilevsky, S. F.; Verkruijsse, H. D. Application of Transition Metal Catalysts in Organic Synthesis; Springer: New York, 1998; pp 3−4.

(28) Macklin, T. K.; Snieckus, V. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2519−2522.

(29) Deledda, S.; Motti, E.; Catellani, M. Can. J. Chem. 2005, 83, 741−747.

(30) Nising, C. F.; Schmid, U. K.; Nieger, M.; Brase, S. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6830−6833.

(31) Papoian, V.; Minehan, T. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7376−7379.

(32) Nunez, A.; Sanchez, A.; Burgos, C.; Alvarez-Builla, J. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 6217−6224.